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To change is to learn; to learn is to change. - Lewis Treen, 1980
FSEC High-Level SWOT Results

**STRENGTHS**
- Research & Development
- Service
- Operations

**WEAKNESSES**
- Vision / Alignment
- Brand / Awareness
- Funding

**OPPORTUNITIES**
- Partnerships
- Funding
- State / Federal Regulations

**THREATS**
- Federal Regulations
- Funding
- Competitive Rivalry

**HELPFUL INTERNAL**

**HARMFUL EXTERNAL**

**STRATEGIC FOCUS**
FSEC Strengths

• **Existing Capabilities**
  – Strong reputation
  – Technical expertise of faculty
  – Support staff and laboratory facilities
  – UCF support in both R&D and education
  – Adaptability to new opportunities
  – Successful cooperative programs

• **Program Development**
  – DOE is customer and knows capabilities
  – Very strong proposal writing skills
  – Recognized for high quality R&D
  – FSEC brand

• **Education Programs**
  – CED and K-12
  – Public education
  – Train-the-trainer programs
FSEC Weaknesses

**FUNDING**
- Outreach to other universities
- Focus not always understood by outside entities
- Smart grid development
- Lack of marketing arm
- Interaction with state energy office and private utilities
- Defining a solar commercialization strategy
- EV and smart grid development in FL

**Provide national certification**
- Expand Latin American presence
- Provide energy efficiency testing
- Consult to other state agencies
- Aggressive licensing of IP
- Spin-off businesses
- Utility solar usage
- Partnerships with military
- UCF downtown campus
2019 FSEC SWOT ANALYSIS
Advisory Board Members Responses
## FSEC Strengths

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strength</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Responses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strong reputation</td>
<td>46.15%</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilities</td>
<td>69.23%</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical expertise</td>
<td>92.31%</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support staff and facilities</td>
<td>69.23%</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCF support</td>
<td>38.46%</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adaptability to new opportunities</td>
<td>30.77%</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuing Education and K-12 Education</td>
<td>46.15%</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Energy (DOE) customer</td>
<td>53.85%</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposal skills</td>
<td>53.85%</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recognized for high quality R&amp;D</td>
<td>46.15%</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSEC brand</td>
<td>46.15%</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reputation</td>
<td>46.15%</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public education</td>
<td>46.15%</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Respondents: 13**
Strengths Comments

• Affiliation with BPS (Brevard Public Schools) Communication with Health First Utilities Director
# FSEC Weaknesses

### ANSWER CHOICES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weakness</th>
<th>RESPONSES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Outreach to other universities</td>
<td>15.38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focus not always understood by outside entities</td>
<td>61.54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOE changes</td>
<td>38.46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida funding minimal</td>
<td>84.62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSEC not marketed properly</td>
<td>15.38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development of new programs</td>
<td>15.38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSEC business model not valid in FL</td>
<td>7.69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operation budget inadequate</td>
<td>46.15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not focused on solar</td>
<td>7.69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limited commercial (industry) reputation and participation</td>
<td>23.08%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of strategic vision</td>
<td>30.77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of presence or program in Washington, DC</td>
<td>23.08%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collaboration with UCF faculty</td>
<td>23.08%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limited budget</td>
<td>53.85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Few dominant faculty</td>
<td>7.69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low morale</td>
<td>7.69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of sales and marketing staff</td>
<td>30.77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expensive staff</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluate internal resources – present/future</td>
<td>7.69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of mission</td>
<td>15.38%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Respondents: 13**
Weaknesses Comments

• Outreach and offerings to commercial entities could be better.
• Lack of sustainable business model made up of services to the market.
FSEC Opportunities

![Bar chart showing various opportunities and their percentages]

**Answer Choices**

- **EV and Smart Grid Development in FL**: 84.62% (11)
- **Provide national certification**: 38.46% (5)
- **Brand products**: 7.69% (1)
- **Expand Latin American presence**: 30.77% (4)
- **Provide energy efficiency testing**: 53.85% (7)
- **Consultant to other state agencies**: 30.77% (4)
- **Aggressive IP licensing**: 15.38% (2)
- **Spin-off businesses**: 15.38% (2)
- **Utility solar storage**: 53.85% (7)
- **Partnerships with military**: 38.46% (5)
- **PV for emergencies in schools/hospitals**: 53.85% (7)
- **Industry funding**: 23.08% (3)
- **Cooperative programs with UCF STEM faculty**: 38.46% (5)
- **Cooperative programs with UCF Downtown campus**: 53.85% (7)
- **Cooperative programs with UCF partnerships – energy/business**: 69.23% (9)
- **Code officials training**: 7.69% (1)
- **DOE state energy program**: 38.46% (5)

**Total Respondents: 13**
Opportunities Comments

• Collaboration with commercial entities on product introductions and grant opportunities
• R&D for distributed energy storage, V2X, Microgrid controls, hydrogen fuel cell, student internship/fellowship program
• Partnerships with City of Orlando and OUC
FSEC Threats

- State support: 61.54% (8 responses)
- Relying on government funding: 61.54% (8 responses)
- DOE funding ending or changing: 84.62% (11 responses)
- Shift to private funding: 7.69% (1 response)
- Losing excellent faculty: 38.46% (5 responses)
- Competition: other non-profits, labs: 53.85% (7 responses)
- Other state programs with better support: 46.15% (6 responses)
- Hiring new staff: 23.08% (3 responses)
- PV becoming commodity: 30.77% (4 responses)

Total Respondents: 13
Threats Comments

- No Comments
Future Challenges to FSEC

- Fossil fuels cost competition Control rather than participating in future solar systems by Energy Corporate Entities
- Connectivity with main campus, growth of grid intelligence expertise
- Being able to adapt with ever changing Solar and Sustainability environment.
- Diversification to expand from solar to other emerging technologies and not be seen as only "Solar" focused. Re-branding may be necessary.
- Completing the shift / branding away from the Florida Solar Energy Center, and just being solar centric.
- Securing more funding from UCF
FSEC Strategic Plan (2019-2024)

- 2014 FSEC SWOT Analysis & Recent Survey
- Two UCF Energy Clusters
- Buildings & Energy Sustainability Working Advisory Team (SWAT)
- UCF Energy Initiative
- Florida’s Energy Future (2025, 2030, 2050)
  - Efficiency, Solar, Energy Storage & EVs

With your help and the above background, FSEC’s Strategic Plan will be crafted
Questions?
2019 FSEC SWOT ANALYSIS
FSEC Faculty Responses
Strengths Comments

- Research Facilities
- Giving opportunities for Researchers to work here
FSEC Weaknesses

**ANSWER CHOICES**

- Outreach to other universities: 29.63%, 8 responses
- Focus not always understood by outside entities: 22.22%, 6 responses
- DOE changes: 29.63%, 8 responses
- Florida funding minimal: 51.85%, 14 responses
- FSEC not marketed properly: 22.22%, 6 responses
- Development of new programs: 55.56%, 15 responses
- FSEC business model not valid in FL: 7.41%, 2 responses
- Operation budget inadequate: 33.33%, 9 responses
- Not focused on solar: 14.81%, 4 responses
- Limited commercial (industry) reputation and participation: 33.33%, 9 responses
- Lack of strategic vision: 66.67%, 18 responses
- Lack of presence or program in Washington, DC: 62.96%, 17 responses
- Collaboration with UCF faculty: 48.15%, 13 responses
- Limited budget: 51.85%, 14 responses
- Few dominant faculty: 25.93%, 7 responses
- Low morale: 29.63%, 8 responses
- Lack of sales and marketing staff: 7.41%, 2 responses
- Expensive staff: 7.41%, 2 responses
- Evaluate internal resources – present/future: 25.93%, 7 responses
- Lack of mission: 37.04%, 10 responses

Total Respondents: 27
Weaknesses Comments

- Few high level research staff, No one utilizing research facilities

- 1) Loss of younger technical personnel. 2) Loss of field ability through staff attrition. 3) Loss of highest grade newer researchers (PhD level) 4) Loss of support from FL utilities

- Lack of adaptability to create new opportunities

- FSEC heavy reliance on C&G has made it too difficult to retain lower level research assistants that are key to being cost-competitive in proposals. Lack of proposal assistance for large RFP. Difficult on fully engaged PI managing large or multiple projects. Lack of adequate contact development in federal energy research programs outside of DOE.
Weaknesses Comments

• Key sponsor contacts/relationships

• We are weak in electrical storage technology applications; we are weak in commercial building controls and lighting; and despite EVTC we have yet to find a niche for FSEC in the growing move to electrical transportation

• Top heavy staff
Opportunities Comments

• I only see two options that relate to research directions? We are a research institute and should be identifying new opportunities for cutting edge research.

• Climate related research Consumer education

• Main campus presence/facilities, catalyst for synergistic activities. Access to student researchers. Collaboration with research groups on campus.

• Research for multiple city or state governments; Research for multiple local governments who may be committing to carbon neutral futures and need help planning and implementing.
Opportunities Comments

• Keep FSEC's name circulating among all the stakeholders we serve and those we hope will fund us. So they will immediately think FSEC whenever they think energy.

Public: Video blurbs for general public audience on the website that address FAQ topics whether recorded during a presentation or as a set up in the auditorium. This will showcase the accessibility, depth, and "real world" nature of our research and researchers.

Funding agencies: Create opportunities for informal interaction such as hosting a hospitality room (with a limited guest list) at conferences, offering program managers the opportunity to say a few words before or after a conference presentation funded by their agency, or including them as advisers on related projects.

Colleagues (and funding agencies): A webcast interview series, like that show "The Best Thing I Ever Ate" but "The Coolest Energy Thing I Ever Saw" - something to bring out the passion every FSECer brings to the work. Once we finish with everyone at FSEC, we can branch out to other industry leaders.
FSEC Threats

- State support: 38.46% (10 responses)
- Relying on government funding: 61.54% (16 responses)
- DOE funding ending or changing: 84.62% (22 responses)
- Shift to private funding: 19.23% (5 responses)
- Losing excellent faculty: 61.54% (16 responses)
- Competition: other non-profits, labs: 61.54% (16 responses)
- Other state programs with better support: 42.31% (11 responses)
- Hiring new staff: 30.77% (8 responses)
- PV becoming commodity: 3.85% (1 response)

Total Respondents: 26
Threats Comments

• Competition from other universities
• Competitors have lower overhead. That concerns me. I have to wonder if the University has considered whether this might be pricing us out of the market especially with smaller funding opportunities. It does not appear that FSEC's leadership team spends time developing relationships with funding agencies, such as DOE, by visiting program managers, inviting them to FSEC, and attending agency conferences to meet and mingle with staff. Maybe they are and I just haven't heard about it.
Threats Comments

- Aging staff
- High quality R&D Reputation
- Inadequate maintenance of FSEC existing labs
- Existing staff spread too thin to be flexible or follow new opportunities
Future Challenges to FSEC

- Incorporating our capabilities with UCF
- Need top level faculty with research leadership to charter new areas
- Lack of innovation
- Becoming irrelevant because of a lack of strategic investments in new talent.
- Funding not supported by State allocated budget for renewable and energy efficiency programs. Limited or No funding from electric Utilities
- DOE shifting focus toward controls (residential energy efficiency) and industrial energy use
Future Challenges to FSEC

• Helping implement solar energy and storage/battery schemes into the home with EV's being just another tool in the belt.

• Perhaps we need to ask how does FSEC stay relevant?

• Keeping good people and having adequate funding

• Severe losses to technical staff eventually filter down to impact reputation/ability to compete on proposals

• Commitment by UCF to lead the nation in energy research and education

• Critical mass of capabilities, staff and divisions

• Competition with DOE funding and fostering national lab partnerships.
Future Challenges to FSEC

• Development of new research ideas in residential apart from test/evaluation of new technologies. Gaining more commercial building sector related work will require staff with advanced skills in building energy management systems and other large equipment. Finding work in advanced/alternative energy technologies such as fuel cell, hydrogen. Finding work in energy storage without some expertise on staff.

• Not enough funds to hire new faculty/staff that can help develop new programs. Especially as we continue to lose senior staff to retirement.
Future Challenges to FSEC

• Funding, Funding, and Funding.
• With fewer staff, harder to take on as many diverse opportunities. We need to have research programs that produce some ground-breaking results. Improve our worldwide name recognition. Where is the phone app or website that everyone will go to?
• Remaining open with lack of support from UCF
Future Challenges to FSEC

1. EV is upcoming and fast emerging market. A research division dedicated to EV can garner support from DOE or upcoming industry to perform some research in EV.

2. Solar PV installations across the globe are growing at 40% annually. This is massive. So research in solar PV with focus on increasing reliability at cost of less maintenance should be focused. Also, research and development in thin film and Perovskite PV cells to be carried.